The Mathematics Education ISSN 0047-6269 Volume - LVIII, No. 2, June 2024 Journal website: www.internationaljournalsiwan.com ORCID Link: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-7467-6080 Google Scholar: https://scholar.google.com/citations?hl=en&user=U0fM8B4AAAJ Refereed and Peer-Reviewed Quarterly Journal

Quadratic residues, Non-residues and Some Consequences

by **Ram Babu Singh,** Research Scholar, P.G. Department of Mathematics, Jai Prakash University, Chapra - 841301, India

(Received: May 28, 2024; Accepted: June 18, 2024; Published Online: June 28, 2024)

Abstract:

Here we shall discuss the quadratic congruence in one variable. The general form of quadratic congruence is $ax^2 + bx + c \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

Where a is not divisible by n, and a, b, c are integers.

For Quadratic residues and non-residues, we can reduce the above equation in the form

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p} \tag{i}$$

Where p is a odd prime, a is an integer which is Co-prime to p i.e (a, p) = 1 or $p \nmid a$.

The congruence of the above form (i) has two solutions. Let us try to solve, the congruence.

[23]

Let x_0 be the solution, then

$$x_0^2 \equiv a \pmod{p} \tag{ii}$$

Also $(p - x_0)$ satisfy the congruence

$$\Rightarrow (p - x_0)^2 \equiv a \pmod{p} \qquad [\because (p - x_0)^2 \equiv (-x_0)^2 \pmod{p}]$$

implies x_0 and $(p - x_0)$ are two solutions of the above congruence.

If possible, let x_1 be the third solution of the above congruence, then from (i)

$$x_1^2 \equiv a \pmod{p} \tag{iii}$$

using (ii),

$$x_1^2 \equiv x_0^2 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow p \text{ divides } (x_1 - x_0) \text{ or } (x_1 + x_0)$$

In first case

 $x_1 \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}$, and in the 2nd case

 $x_1 \equiv p - x_0 \pmod{p}$

 x_1 is the same, not distinct solution our assumption is wrong. In fact, we have exactly two solutions.

Now for the Quadratic residues and non-residues of the above equation (i)

- (a) If the equation (i) is solvable, then 'a' is quadratic residue mod p.
- (b) If the above equation (i) has no solution then 'a' is quadratic non-residue mod p.

Example: The quadratic residues mod 5 are 1 and 4 whereas 2 and 3 are non-residues.

In case of quadratic residues and non-residues, we have some fundamental problems arise here.

Problem (i): For a given prime number p, we have to determine in which 'a' are quadratic residues or non-residues of prime p.

Problem (ii): For a given number 'a' we have to determine those prime p for which 'a' is a quadratic residues and those for which 'a' is a quadratic non-residues.

For solving problem (i), we give some methods. For this let us try to find out the quadratic residues of prime p = 13.

Let us consider the squares as

 $1^2 = 1, 2^2 = 4, 3^2 = 9, 4^2 = 3, 5^2 = 12, 6^2 = 10$

and the next half of the squares are congruent to the numbers in reverse order.

 $7^2 = 10, 8^2 = 12, 9^2 = 3, 10^2 = 9, 11^2 = 4, 12^2 = 1$

therefore, quadratic residues of 13 are

1, 4, 9, 3, 12, 10, after arranging,

 $1, 3, 4, 9, 10, 12 \text{ as } 12^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$

and the Quadratic non-residues are

2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11

Both are equal in number.

Illustration:

The above example illustrate the following theorems.

Theorem 1: In reduced system of odd prime *p* there are exactly $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ quadratic residue of *p* and other $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ integers are the quadratic non-residue of *p* and the quadratic residues belong to the system containing the squares

$$1^2, 2^2, 3^2, ..., (p-1)^2$$

Proof: Suppose *p* is an odd prime and the congruence $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$, $p \nmid a$, is solvable, then its solution must be congruence modulo *p* to some integer of reduced residue system $\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm (p-1)$ of *p*, then any integer congruent to one of the following integers

 $1^2, 2^2, 3^2, \dots, \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^2$ is a quadratic residue of p. It is clear that the reduced residue system of p, except the integers congruent to one of the integers, are all

quadratic non-residue of p. But no two of the number, are congruent to each other

mod *p*, for if
$$i^2 \equiv j^2 \pmod{p}$$
 with $1 \le i \le \frac{p-1}{2}, 1 \le j \le \frac{p-1}{2}$

then
$$i^2 - j^2 \equiv \pmod{p}$$

 $\Rightarrow (i+j)(i-j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. So either
 $i+j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $i-j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

It is impossible as i + j and i - j both are numerically less than p.

Since $(p - k)^2 \equiv k^2 \pmod{p}$, every quadratic residue is congruent mod p to exactly one of the numbers.

Proved.

Theorem 2: If *p* is an odd prime, and *a* is an integer where (a, p) = 1, then either $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ or $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$

Proof: Applying Fermat's little theorem, we have

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
$$\Rightarrow \left(a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1\right) \left(a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

then either p divides $\left(a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1\right)$ or p divides $\left(a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1\right)$, but can not divide both, as in the case $\left(a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1\right) - \left(a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1\right) = 2$, which is divisible by p. But it is impossible.

Example: Find the quadratic residues and non-residues of 13.

Solution: As (2, 13) = 1

Also
$$2^{\frac{13-1}{2}} = 2^6 = 64$$

But $64 \equiv 12 \pmod{13}$

 $\equiv -1 \pmod{13}$

2 is the quadratic non-residue of 13.

Also (3, 13) = 1

But $3^{\frac{13-1}{2}} = 3^6 = (3^3)^2 = (27)^2$ But $(27)^2 \equiv 1^2 \pmod{13}$ $\equiv 1 \pmod{13}$

3 is a quadratic residue of 13.

Proved.

Some Consequences:

If two integers *a* and *b* are relatively prime with an odd integer *p* i.e. (a, p) = 1, (b, p) = 1.

We have

- (1) *a* and *b* are both quadratic residues or both are quadratic non-residues of *p*, then the product of *a* and *b* i.e. *a b* is a quadratic residue of *p*.
- (2) When one of *a*, *b* is a quadratic residues of *p* and other is a non-residue, then *a b* is quadratic non-residue of *p*.

References:

- 1. George E. Andews : Number theory, Hindustan Publication Corp, N. Delhi, 1984.
- 2. T.M. Apostal : An Introduction to analytic number theory, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi.
- 3. H. Rade Macher : Lecture on elementary number theory, Blais dell, New York, 1964.